

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 4161–4165

Tetrahedron Letters

Ni-nanoparticles: an efficient green catalyst for chemoselective reduction of aldehydes

Mazaahir Kidwai,^{a,*} Vikas Bansal,^a Amit Saxena,^b Ravi Shankar^b and Subho Mozumdar^b

^a Green Chemistry Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi 110 007, India ^bLaboratory for Nanobiotechnology, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi 110 007, India

> Received 19 October 2005; revised 4 April 2006; accepted 13 April 2006 Available online 8 May 2006

Abstract—A novel method for reduction of aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes with ammonium formate using Ni-nanoparticles is described. The Ni-nanoparticles act as a green catalyst for selective reduction of the aldehydic group in the presence of other functional groups, viz.: –NO2, –CN and alkenes to give the corresponding alcohols in excellent yields. $© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

The reduction of carbonyl compounds to the corresponding alcohols is an important transformation in $organic$ synthesis^{[1,2](#page-3-0)} and an important step in the synthe-sis of biologically active compounds.^{[3,4](#page-3-0)} A variety of reducing systems are available to carry out reductions, which include Raney nickel,^{5,6} Ni-MCm-41,^{[7](#page-3-0)} nickel– aluminium (Ni-Al) alloy,^{[8](#page-3-0)} nickel-aluminium hydrotal-cite,^{[9](#page-3-0)} Al₂O₃–NaBH₄,^{[10,11](#page-3-0)} Cu/Ru complexes,^{[12–14](#page-3-0)} HCO₂-Na/ Δ /pressure,^{15,16} Meerwein–Pondorff–Verley (MPV) reductions,^{[17,18](#page-3-0)} Fe/HCl^{[19](#page-3-0)} and Sn/HCl.^{[20](#page-3-0)} The selective reduction of aldehydes in the presence of other functional groups vulnerable to reduction constitutes a major task in organic synthesis, and in many such cases, it is necessary to use the expensive reagents and/or work at low temperatures. This can be circumvented by using aminoborane, $2^{1,22}$ tributylstannane, 2^{3} low valency tita-nium,^{[24](#page-3-0)} tetrabutylammonium triacetoxyborohydride,^{[25](#page-3-0)} potassium triphenylborohydrid[e26](#page-3-0) and lithium trialkoxyaluminium hydrides.[27](#page-3-0)

All these methods have their own drawbacks which include the use of high pressure/temperature or both, long reaction times,^{[7](#page-3-0)} low yields,⁷ toxic solvents^{[28,29](#page-3-0)} and nonselective stoichiometric amounts of reagent, that could lead to the formation of quantitative amounts of undesirable salts or require the use of a pyrophoric catalyst.

However, there is strong need to develop new green catalysts $30,31$ that should be efficient, involve an easy work-up and afford greater yields in shorter reaction times. In this regard, Raney-Ni has extensively been used for catalytic reduction. However, reactions catalysed by Raney-Ni are very slow owing to the large surface area of the catalyst. It was therefore worthwhile to develop a new green catalyst that could enhance the reaction rate.

Work in the field of metal nanoparticles as catalysts in synthetic organic chemistry has gained much attention.[32–50](#page-3-0) Pioneering work includes the Mizoroki–Heck reaction using palladium nanoparticles, $32-34$ Suzuki cross-coupling reactions using palladium nanoparticles, $32,34–41$ Stille type reactions, $42–44$ Sonogashira coupling reactions, $39\overline{9}$ $39\overline{9}$ Tsuji–Trost allylation and Pauson–Khand reactions, $45,46$ aza-Michael reactions^{[47](#page-3-0)} and nanoparticle catalysed reactions.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ Recent literature has shown that the application of nanoparticles as catalysts in organic synthesis has been little explored. Ni-nanoparticles, in particular being cheap, need mild reaction conditions for high yields of products in short reaction times as compared to the traditional Raneynickel catalysts.

We report herein a novel protocol that employs Ninanoparticles⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ as an efficient and selective catalyst for the reduction of aldehydes. The Ni-nanoparticles selectively and catalytically reduce aldehydic groups in the presence of other functional groups such as $-NO₂$, $-CN$, and double bonds at α, β positions. Reduction of

Keywords: Ni-nanoparticles; Chemoselective; Catalytic reduction; Aldehydes.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +91 11 27666235; e-mail: [Kidwai.](mailto:Kidwai. chemistry@gmail.com) [chemistry@gmail.com](mailto:Kidwai. chemistry@gmail.com)

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.04.048

Scheme 1. Preparation of Ni-nanoparticles.

 Ni^{2+} ions to Ni(0) in a reverse micellar system was employed to prepare the nickel nanoparticles (Scheme 1).[55,56](#page-4-0)

The sizes of the Ni-nanoparticles prepared at $W_0 = 3{\text -}15$ (the water content parameter W_0 defined as the molar ratio of water to surfactant, $W_0 = [H_2O]/[\text{surface}$ were confirmed as 10 ± 2 nm to 85 ± 2 nm through quasi-elastic light scattering data (QELS) (Fig. 1a) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 1b). The Ni-nanoparticles prepared were round in shape and black in color (colloidal state).

Ni-nanoparticles (Ni-np), 10–85 nm in size have been used efficiently for the catalytic reduction of aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes (Scheme 2).^{[57](#page-4-0)} A control experiment was conducted in the absence of catalyst and it was observed that the reduction of aromatic aldehydes using ammonium formate produced the required products in 72 h in 15–20% yield. In contrast, our protocol reduces various aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes with significantly shorter reaction times and very high yields [\(Table 1](#page-2-0)).

The solvent used plays an important role in deciding the reaction path and the nature of the product. Changing the solvent in the heterogeneous catalytic reduction from methanol to n-hexane resulted in a steep drop in

R-CHO
$$
\xrightarrow{\text{Ni-np, HCOONH}_4} \text{R--CH}_2\text{OH}
$$

Scheme 2. Catalytic reduction of aromatic aldehydes using Ninanoparticles (18–62 nm) employing ammonium formate as a hydrogen donor.

activity due to the sparingly soluble nature of ammonium formate which acts as a hydrogen donor. Nonpolar solvents such as *n*-hexane were unsuitable for the reactions. However, polar solvents such as methanol gave promising results ([Table 2](#page-2-0)).

Ni-nanoparticles of different sizes from 10 nm to 85 nm diameters were prepared in the aqueous cores of reverse micellar droplets. The mechanism of the catalytic action of the nanoparticles is dependent on the nanoparticle size.

The maximum reaction rate has been observed for an average particle diameter of about 20 nm. With a decrease in particle size, a trend of decreasing reaction rate has been found for particles less than a diameter of 20 nm, while those above this diameter show a steady decline of reaction rate with increasing size. It has been postulated, that in the case of particles of average size less than 20 nm, a downward shift of Fermi level takes

Figure 1. (a) QELS data of' Ni-nanoparticles: plot of population distribution in percentile versus size distribution in nm, (b) TEM image of Ninanoparticles. The scale bar corresponds to 20 nm in the TEM image.

^a Reaction conditions: 1.0 equiv of aldehyde, 1.0 equiv of ammonium formate, 10 mol % of Ni-nanoparticles (45 nm) in THF at room temperature stirred under an inert atmosphere.

b Isolated yields.

place, consequently, with an increase of band gap energy. As a result, the particles require more energy to pump electrons to the adsorbed ions for the electron transfer reaction. This leads to a reduction in reaction rate when catalysed by smaller particles. On the other hand, for nanoparticles >20 nm in diameter, the change of Fermi level is not appreciable. As these particles exhibit less surface area for adsorption with increased particle size, a decrease in catalytic efficiency results [\(Table](#page-3-0) [3\)](#page-3-0).

Table 2. Effect of solvents on the catalytic reduction of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde and 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde using Ni-nanoparticles (18 nm)

Solvent	4-Methoxybenzaldehyde		3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzaldehyde	
	Yield $(\%)^a$	Time (h)	Yield $(\%)^a$	Time (h)
Methanol		1.5	96	1.2
Tetrahydrofuran		2.2		1.4
Acetonitrile		2.8	89	2.2
n -Hexane	81	6.2	68	5.7

^a Isolated yields.

Particle size $(\pm 2 \text{ nm})$	4-Methoxybenzaldehyde		3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzaldehyde	
	Yield $(\%)^a$	Time(h)	Yield $(\%)^a$	Time (h)
10	89	2.5	δ.	2.7
20	92	<u>.</u>		1.4
30	93	2.8		2.0
85			69	2.6

Table 3. Effect of size of the nanoparticles on catalytic reduction of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde and 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde using 10 mol % Ni-nanoparticles

^a Isolated yields.

In summary, these results demonstrate that Ni-nanoparticles (20 nm) can reduce various aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes chemoselectively. Moreover, our method avoids the use of expensive reagents and high temperatures and leads to improved yields of products in shorter reaction times. Additional applications of this technology are currently under investigation.

Acknowledgements

S.M. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India, for this work.

References and notes

- 1. Larock, R. C. Comprehensive Organic Transformations: A Guide to Functional Group Preparation, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1989; p 35.
- 2. Larock, R. C. Comprehensive Organic Transformations: A Guide to Functional Group Preparation, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1999; p 823.
- 3. Kidwai, M.; Mothsra, P.; Mohan, R.; Biswas, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 915.
- 4. Kidwai, M.; Saxena, S.; Mohan, R.; Venkataramanan, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 1845.
- 5. Barrero, A. F.; Alvarez-Manzaneda, E. J.; Chahboun, R.; Meheses, R. Synlett 2000, 197.
- 6. Adkins, H.; Billika, H. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 695.
- 7. Mohapatra, S. K.; Sonavane, S. U.; Jayaram, R. V.; Selvam, P. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4297.
- 8. Cook, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 3873.
- 9. Choudary, B. M.; Kantam, M. L.; Rahman, A.; Reddy, C. V. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 206, 145.
- 10. Yakabe, S.; Hirano, M.; Morimoto, T. Can. J. Chem. 1988, 76, 1916.
- 11. Zhang, Y.; Liao, S.; Xu, Y.; Yu, D. Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 2000, 192, 247.
- 12. Ohkuma, T.; Ooka, H.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10417.
- 13. Chen, J. X.; Daewole, J. F.; Brestensky, D. M.; Stryker, J. M. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2153.
- 14. Ohkuma, T.; Ooka, H.; Hashiguchi, S.; Ikaria, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2675.
- 15. Bryson, T. A.; Jennings, J. M.; Gibson, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3523.
- 16. Bangell, L.; Strauss, C. R. Chem. Commun. 1999, 287.
- 17. Graaw, C. F.; Peters, J. A.; Bekkum, H.; Huskens, J. Synthesis 1994, 1007.
- 18. Ooi, T.; Miura, T.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2347.
- 19. Merlic, C. A.; Motamed, S.; Quinn, B. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3365.
- 20. Doxsee, K. M.; Fiegel, M.; Stewart, K. D.; Canary, J. W.; Knobler, C. B.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3089.
- 21. Andrews, G. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 697.
- 22. Andrews, G. C.; Craford, T. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 693.
- 23. Fung, N. Y. M.; Mayo, P.; Schauble, J. H.; Weedom, A. C. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3977.
- 24. Adams, C. M.; Schemenar, J. E. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 2359.
- 25. Nutaities, C. F.; Gribble, G. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4287.
- 26. Yoon, N. M.; Kim, K. E.; Kang, J. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 226.
- 27. Krishnamurthy, S. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4628.
- 28. Wang, W. B.; Shi, L. L.; Haung, Y. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 118.
- 29. Mukhopadhyay, S.; Rothenberg, G.; Wiener, H.; Sasson, Y. New J. Chem. 2002, 24, 305.
- 30. Kidwai, M.; Venkataramanan, R.; Dave, B. Green Chem. 2001, 3, 278.
- 31. Kidwai, M.; Venkataramanan, R.; Dave, B. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2002, 39, 1045.
- 32. Reetz, M. T.; Breinbauer, R.; Wanninger, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 4499.
- 33. Reetz, M. T.; Lohmer, G. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1921.
- 34. Reetz, M. T.; Westermann, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 165.
- 35. Moreno-Mañas, M.; Pleixats, R.; Villarroya, S. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4524.
- 36. Li, Y.; Hong, X. M.; Collard, D. M.; El-Sayed, M. A. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2385.
- 37. Li, Y.; El-Sayed, M. A. J. Phys. Chem. B. 2001, 105, 8938.
- 38. Li, Y.; Boone, E.; El-Sayed, M. A. Langmuir 2002, 18, 4921.
- 39. Ramarao, C.; Ley, S. V.; Smith, S. C.; Shirley, I. M.; DeAlmeida, N. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1132.
- 40. Kim, S. W.; Kim, M.; Lee, W. Y.; Hyeon, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7642.
- 41. Strimbu, L.; Liu, J.; Kaifer, A. E. Langmuir 2003, 19, 483.
- 42. Pathak, S.; Greci, M. T.; Kwong, R. C.; Mercado, G.; Prakash, K. S.; Olah, G. A.; Thompson, M. E. Chem. Mater. 2000, 12, 1985.
- 43. Kogan, V.; Aizenshtat, Z.; Popovitz-Biro, R.; Neumann, R. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3529.
- 44. Choudhary, B. M.; Madhi, S.; Chowdari, N. S.; Kantam, M. L.; Sreedhar, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14127.
- 45. Park, K. H.; Son, S. U.; Chung, Y. K. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4361.
- 46. Son, S. U.; Park, K. H.; Chung, Y. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6838.
- 47. Verma, A. K.; Kumar, R.; Chaudhary, P.; Saxena, A.; Shankar, R.; Mozumdar, S.; Chandra, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5229.
- 48. Chen, K.; Zhang, Z.; Cui, Z.; Yang, D. Gaofenzi Xuebao 2000, 2, 180; Chem. Abstr. 2000, 133, 59490.
- 49. Bartz, M.; Kuther, J.; Seshadri, R.; Tremel, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2466.
- 50. Reetz, M. T.; Quaiser, S. A.; Merk, C. Chem. Ber. 1996, 129, 741.
- 51. Latif, K. A.; Choudhury, D. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 14, 1735.
- 52. Petit, G. R.; Van Tamelen, E. E. In Organic Reactions; John Wiley: New York, 1964; Vol. XII, p 521.
- 53. Hajipour, A. R.; Ruoho, A. E. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon 2003, 178, 1277–1281.
- 54. Wolfrom, M. L.; Karabinos, J. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 724.
- 55. Chen, D. H.; Wu, S. H. Chem. Mater. 2000, 12, 1354.
- 56. Zang, D. E.; Ni, X. M.; Zheng, H. G.; Li, Y.; Zhang, X. J.; Yang, Z. P. Mater. Lett. 2005, 59, 2011.
- 57. General procedure for the reduction of aldehydes ([Scheme](#page-1-0) [2](#page-1-0)): To a suspension of aldehyde (5 mmol), Ni-nanoparticles (18–62 nm, 10 mol %), in tetrahydrofuran (THF, 3 ml), ammonium formate (5 mmol) was added at room temperature and the resulting mixture stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the mixture was filtered and THF was removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 15 \text{ ml})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and after removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 250–400 mesh size). The structure of the product alcohol was confirmed from IR (KBr or Nujol) and ¹H NMR data.